UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK Civ Case #:
MARIA TSAMASIROS

Plaintiffs

-against-

COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

THE CITY OF NEW YORK,
P.O. RICKY POH,
P.O. KAREEM ROBINSON,
AND JOHN/JANE DOE OFFICERS OF
THE 68TH AND 73RD pcts AND NYPD
HIGHWAY PATROL UNIT, NAMES FICTITIOUS,
TRUE NAMES UNKNOWN INTENDING TO BE
OFFICERS ON THE DATE AND TIME ALLEGED

Defendants.

____X

SIRS:

Plaintiff, by her attorney, MICHAEL B. PALILLO, ESQ., complaining of the Defendants herein, alleges upon information and belief, as follows:

NATURE OF THE CLAIM

- 1. That this is an action for money damages to redress deprivation by Defendants of the rights secured to the Plaintiff under the Constitution and Laws of the United States of America and under the guise of New York Law.
- 2. The Plaintiff base this action upon her illegal seizure by various members of the New York City Police Department on the $21^{\rm rd}$ day of May 2022. This Court is authorized to grant the Plaintiff the relief under 42 U.S.C.

1983 and 1988.

JURISDICTION

3. Jurisdiction in this matter is invoked in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 1331 and 28 U.S.C.

VENUE

4. Venue is proper in the Eastern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1331 and 28 U.S.C. 1391 (b) as all of the events and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in Kings County in the Eastern District of New York, the actual place of employment of all the individual police officers.

THE PARTIES

- 5. That at all times herein mentioned the Plaintiff resided at 226 Beach $134^{\rm th}$ Street, Belle Harbor, N.Y. 11694.
- 6. That at all times mentioned herein, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, hereinafter after referred to as the "CITY" was and continues to be a municipal corporation existing and organized by virtue of the Laws of the State of New York.
- 7. At all times mentioned herein, THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT (hereinafter known as the "NYPD") was and still are a Department and/or agency of the "CITY" and operates under its supervision, management and control.

- 8. That at all dates and times hereinafter mentioned Defendant "CITY" by it's agents, servants and/or employees, operated, maintained and controlled the "NYPD" and employed and controlled all of it's "NYPD" police officers.
- 9. Upon information and belief, that at all dates and times hereinafter mentioned Defendants P.O. Ricky Poh, P.O. Kareem Robinson, "JOHN DOES" and "JANE DOES" were employed by the Defendant "CITY" and were assigned NYPD Units/Pct.s including but not limited to the NYPD Highway Patrol Unit (2) and/or the 68th Pct., and/or the 73rd Pct., County of Kings, State of New York.
- 10. That at all dates and times hereinafter mentioned the Defendants P.O. Ricky Poh, P.O. Kareem Robinson "JOHN DOES" and "JANE DOES" acted within the scope of their employment for the Defendant "CITY."
- 11. That at all dates and times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants, "JOHN DOES" and "JANE DOES" names fictitious, true names unknown but intended to be NYPD Officers at the time and place of this occurrence who were employees of the "CITY" and were assigned NYPD Units/Pct.,s including but not limited to the NYPD Highway Patrol Unit (2) and/or the 68th Pct., and/or the 73rd Pct., County of Kings, State of New York.

- 12. That at all dates and times hereinafter mentioned the Defendants "JOHN DOE/JANE DOE" acted within the scope of his/her employment for the Defendant "CITY."
- 13. That a Notice of Claim on behalf of Plaintiff was duly presented to the defendant "CITY" care of the Comptroller of the City of New York on the 26th day of July 2022 which were within ninety (90) days of the cause of action accruing herein.
- 14. That the "CITY" has demanded a hearing for the Plaintiff pursuant to §50-H of the General Municipal Law, and a hearing was held on September 7, 2022.
- 15. The claim of Plaintiff has been presented for adjustment/payment and no adjustment or payment has been held.
- 16. This action has been brought within one year and ninety (90) days of the happening of the event complained of.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 17. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and re-alleges each and every allegation previously set forth as if more fully setforth herein at length.
- 18. That on May 21, 2022 at approximately 12:45 A.M. at and about the corner of 4th Avenue and 94th Street, County of Kings, State of New York the Plaintiff was driving a 2015 Ford Explorer Bearing NY license plate number BOUZOUKI.

- 19. That at the aforementioned date, time and location the Plaintiff was pulled over by PO Ricky Poh of the NYPD Highway Patrol Unit 2 for excessively tinted front drivers' side window, front passengers' side window, rear driver side window and rear passengers side windows.
 - 20. The Plaintiff was not the owner of the vehicle.
- 21. When speaking to the Plaintiff PO Poh stated that he smelled alcohol.
- 22. That Plaintiff advised PO Poh that the two passengers in the vehicle had been drinking heavily and that is why he smelled alcohol.
- 23. The Plaintiff also advised PO Poh that because they were drinking heavily, and she was the designated driver.
- 24. PO Poh stated to the Plaintiff that she was going to to be taken for a Breathalyzer.
- 25. The Plaintiff was arrested at 1:02 A.M., placed in handcuffs and driven to the Barclay Center.
 - 26. A 20 minute observation start time was 1:35 A.M.
- 27. The Plaintiff was administered a Breadth Analysis Test by technician PO Ricky Poh.
- 28. The Plaintiff blew below the legal limit of .08. A copy of the Police Department City of New York, Highway District Intoxicated Driver Testing Unit, New York State Evidential

Breadth Analysis Test dated May 21, 2022 is annexed as Exhibit "A."

- 29. In spite of passing the Breadth Analysis Test the Plaintiff was required to perform a "Field Sobriety Test."
 - 30. The Plaintiff passed the field sobriety test.
- 31. In spite of passing the Breadth Analysis Test and in spite of passing the field sobriety test, the Plaintiff's was told she was going to be charged.
 - 32. P.O. Kareen Robinson was the arresting officer.
- 33. The Plaintiff was interviewed, photographed, fingerprinted, placed in a holding cell, taken to the 78th Pct., taken to central booking, placed in a holding cell and then arraigned before the Hon. Archana Rao and charged with:

VTL 1121(1)
OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL
OR DRUGS

VTL 1192(3)
OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL OR DRUGS.

34. P.O. Kareem Robinson swore under oath that he observed Maria Tsamasiro driving a 2015 Explore New York State license plate No. Bouxouki that appeared to have excessively tinted front driver's side window, front passengers' side window, rear driver's side window and rear passengers' side window.

- 35. P.O. Kareen Robinson also swore under oath that he observed the Maria Tsamasiros exhibiting signs of intoxication, to wit red watery eyes and odor of alcoholic beverages on breath. A copy of the Criminal Court Complaint is annexed as Exhibit "B."
- 36. The Plaintiff was released without bail. Judge Arachana Rao directed that the Plaintiff's license was not to be suspended. A copy of the minutes of the arraignment are annexed as Exhibit "C."
- 37. Upon information and belief, the Plaintiff was held in custody for approximately twenty (20) hours.
- 38. That the NYS Dept. of Motor Vehicle did suspend the Plaintiff's driving privileges. A copy of the suspension notice is annexed as Exhibit "D."
- 39. That on May 23, 2022, the Plaintiff attend a screening for alcohol and/or other substance abuse before Randi D. Schneider, LCSW. The Plaintiff was required to pay the sum of \$125.00 for this screening. A copy of the paid receipt is annexed as Exhibit "E."
- 40. The Plaintiff was required to retain an attorney to represent her in the Criminal proceeding.
- 41. That on June 10, 2022, ADA Ashley C. Infante of the Kings County District Attorneys office notified Plaintiff's

Criminal Attorney via e-mail that the District Attorneys Office would be moving to dismiss the case due to insufficient evidence to prosecute. A copy of this email is annexed as Exhibit "F."

- 42. That on June 27, 2022, the Plaintiff appeared in the Criminal Court Kings County and the charges against her were dismissed and the record sealed.
- 43. A copy of the certificate of disposition is annexed as Exhibit "G."
- 44. In the manner aforesaid, each of the Defendants, jointly and severally, acted maliciously, willfully and wantonly, and outside the scope of their jurisdiction, although under color of law, violated the following rights of the Plaintiff:
 - A) To be free from unreasonable search and seizure;
 - B) To be free from search and seizure without probable cause;
 - C) To be free from unlawful detainment and false arrest;
 - D) To be free from assault and battery;
 - E) To be free from negligence in the performance of police duties;
 - F) To be free from summary punishment without trial;
 - G) Due process of law.

- 45. All of these rights are secured to the Plaintiff by the provisions of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States of America, and by 42 U.S.C. Sections 1983 and 1988, and by the Statutes and Laws of the State of New York which is invoked under the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 46. That solely by reason of all the foregoing, the Plaintiff has suffered damage and injury.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 47. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and re-alleges each and every allegation previously set forth as if more fully setforth herein at length.
- $48. \ \mbox{On May 21, 2022, the Plaintiff was intentionally}$ detained by the Defendants.
- 49. In detaining the Plaintiff, the Defendants were acting under color of State of Law, within the purview of 41 U.S.C. 1983, and within the scope of their employment with the "NYPD."
- 50. The Plaintiff did not resist her arrest, detention, imprisonment or confinement.
- 51. The Plaintiff was aware of her detention, imprisonment, arrest and confinement.
 - 52. The Plaintiff did not consent to being detained,

imprisoned, arrested and confined.

- 53. The Plaintiff's detention, imprisonment, arrest and confinement was not privileged and Plaintiff was detained and arrested without probable cause that she committed any crime.
- 54. As a result of Plaintiff's illegal detention, the Defendants lacked reasonable suspicion, probable cause, or any other valid legal reason to effectuate the arrest and detention of the Plaintiff, and this detention and arrest and the actions of the Defendants amounted to an unlawful imprisonment, detainment, and arrest.
- 55. As a result of the foregoing the Plaintiff's Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment and rights under the Statutes and Laws of the State of New York were violated, which is invoked under the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 56. As a proximate result of Defendants intentional and malicious actions, the Plaintiff was greatly humiliated, suffered injury to her reputation, caused her to incur a charge of \$125.00 for alcohol screening, caused to her retain an attorney at the cost of \$2,500.00 and has suffered great mental anguish causing damages in an amount to be determined at trial, and punitive damages and attorneys' fees.

57. That solely by reason of all the foregoing, the Plaintiff has suffered damages and injuries in a sum to be determined at the time of trial.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 58. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and re-alleges each and every allegation previously set forth as if more fully setforth herein at length.
- 59. Upon information and belief that at the aforesaid dated, time and place, the Plaintiff was assaulted and subject to a battery, had hands placed on her by the Defendants herein, without the consent of the Plaintiffs.
- 60. That Plaintiff in no way instigated, caused or contributed to the complained of conduct.
- 61. That as a result of the assault and battery the Plaintiff suffered, her health was impaired, she was caused to have hands placed on her by the Defendants, suffered great physical and mental distress and humiliation, her reputation and character were injured and they were embarrassed.
- 62. That solely by reason of all the foregoing, the Plaintiff has suffered damages and injuries in a sum to be determined at the time of trial.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

- 63. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and re-alleges each and every allegation previously set forth as if more fully setforth herein at length.
- 64. That at all dates and times hereinafter mentioned the Defendants, their agents, servants and/or employees were charged with the duty to perform their job functions in a reasonably safe manner.
- 65. That at all dates and times hereinafter mentioned the Defendants, CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK instructed and educated their Police Officers, in how to perform their job functions.
- 66. That at all dates and times hereinafter mentioned the Defendants did not perform their job duties in a reasonable safe manner.
- the Defendants CITY OF NEW YORK their agent's servants and/or employees, including but not limited to P.O. RICKY POH,
 P.O. KAREEM ROBINSON, AND JOHN/JANE DOE OFFICERS OF the 68th AND 73RD PCTs/COMMAND AND NYPD HIGHWAY PATROL UNIT, NAMES FICTITIOUS, TRUE NAMES UNKNOWN INTENDING TO BE OFFICERS ON THE DATE AND TIME ALLEGED were negligent, careless and reckless in the performance of their job duties.

68. That solely by reason of the foregoing the Plaintiff has suffered damage and injury in a sum to be determined upon the trial of this action.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 69. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and re-alleges each and every allegation previously set forth as if more fully setforth herein at length.
- 70. That the Defendants intentionally and for the purpose of causing severe physical and mental distress conducted themselves toward the Plaintiff in a manner so outrageous and shocking that it exceeded all reasonable bounds of decency.
- 71. That the Defendants recklessly conducted themselves toward the Plaintiff in a manner so outrageous and shocking that it exceeded all reasonable bounds of decency.
- 72. That solely by reason of all the foregoing, the Plaintiff has suffered damages and injuries in a sum to be determined at the time of trial.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

73. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and re-alleges each and every allegation previously set forth as if more fully setforth herein at length.

- 74. That the Defendants did not take any steps to prevent the assault, battery, detainment, search, seizure, unlawful/false arrest and unlawful imprisonment of the Plaintiff.
- 75. The Defendant unreasonably seized the person of Plaintiff, subjected her to degrading and humiliating searches of her body without a valid authority, detained, falsely imprisoned and arrested her, all without their consent or probable cause.
- 76. That at all times mentioned herein, each of the Defendants had the power and duty to restrain the other Defendants and prevent them from violating the law and rights of the Plaintiffs but that each of the Defendants failed and refused to perform that duty, failed and refused to restrain the other Defendants, and thereby became party to the injuries inflicted upon the Plaintiffs.
- 77. That solely by reason of all the foregoing, the Plaintiff has suffered damages and injuries in a sum to be determined at the time of trial.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

78. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and re-alleges each and every allegation previously set forth as if more fully setforth herein at length.

- 79. That as a result of Plaintiff's false arrest the was caused to pay \$125.00 for an Alcohol and/or other substance screening.
- 80. As a result of the foregoing the Plaintiff has been damaged in the sum of \$125.00.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 81. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and re-alleges each and every allegation previously set forth as if more fully setforth herein at length.
- 82. That as a result of Plaintiff's false arrest the Plaintiff was caused to retain an attorney in the Criminal Court proceeding.
- 83. That as a result of the foregoing the Plaintiff was caused to incur the sum of \$2,500.00 in legal fees.
- 84. That as result of the foregoing, the Plaintiff has ben damaged in the sum of \$2,500.00

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demand judgment against the Defendants as follows:

a) On the first, second, third and fourth causes of action for compensatory damages against all Defendants in a sum to be determined at trial but in no event less than ONE MILLION DOLLARS (\$1,000,000.00);

- b) On the fifth cause of action for exemplary and punitive damages in a sum to be determined at trial but in no event less than TWO MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$2,500,000.00);
- c) On the sixth cause of action the \$125.00 paid for alcohol screening;
- d) On the seventh cause of action the \$2,500.00 incurred to retain an attorney to represent the Plaintiff in the Criminal Court proceeding;
- e) For attorneys fees pursuant to 43 U.S.C. 1988 against all Defendants; and
- f) Together with the costs and disbursements of this action, and for such other and further relief as to this Court deems just proper and equitable.

Dated: New York, New York September 20, 2022

MICHAEL B. PALILLO (MP 0044)
MICHAEL B. PALILLO, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
277 Broadway, Suite 501
New York, NY 10007
(212) 608-8959

EXHIBIT A

0:102 1 WOER

ARTMENT K22621141-1

POLICE DEPARTMENT CITY OF NEW YORK

Highway District Intoxicated Driver Testing Unit

New York State Evidential Breath Analysis Test

CMI Intoxilyzer 9000 - Alcohol Analyzer

Serial #: 90-002042

Case Number: 2042-22-2276

Date: 05/21/2022

SUBJECT'S NAME: TSAMASIROS, MARIA K

AGE:

SUBJECT'S DOB: LICENSE #: COLUMN

STATE OF ISSUE: 減極

GENDER: F

TECHNICIAN: POH, RICKY, PO, 08595, 961110

PERMIT #: 47697

EXPIRATION DATE: 05/03/2023

AGENCY: NYPD HIGHWAY PATROL UNIT

ARRESTING OFFICER: ROBINSON, KAREEM, PO, 5587, 964792, 412

AGENCY: NYPE PRECINCT OF ARREST: 058

PATROL BOROUGH OF ARREST:

DATE OF ARREST: 05/21/2022 COMMERCIAL MOTOR VEHICLE: No

ARREST TIME: 01:02

UNDER 21 YRS OLD: No

20 MIN OBSERVATION START TIME: 01:35

CRASH INVOLVED: No INJURY: No.

CHILD UNDER 16 IN VEHICLE: No

Test *BAC Time Afr Blank 0.000 01:55:25 Diagnostics Passed 01:55:29 Air Blank 0.000 01:56:06 Calibration Check 0.098 01:56:33 Air Blank 0.000 01:57:13 Subject Sample 0.052 01:58:08 Air Blank 0.000 01:58:50 Calibration Check 0.096 Air Blank 01:59:16 0.000 01:59:56 Diagnosties Passed 02:00:00 Ant Blank 0.000 02:00:37

ETHANOL STANDARD INFORMATION

225

LOT #: 22030

EXPIRATION DATE: 07/24/2022

SIMULATOR SERIAL #: MP4526

TECTIFICATION PERSONALINE IN IAM OF SIM

I the undersigned, hereby certify that the foregoing report is a true, accurate, and complete record, made as part of and in the regular course of the New York City Police Department's business activity, at, or near, the date and time th test was made, and that the above test was performed by me. False statements made herein are punishable as a clas 'A" misdemeanor pursuant to section 210.45 of the New York State Penal Law.

በዩኖባና

EXHIBIT "A"

EXHIBIT B



CRIMINAL COURT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK PART APAR COUNTY OF KINGS

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF KINGS

MARIA TSAMASIROS

FOLICE OFFICER KAREEM ROBINSON SHIELD NO.05587, OF HIGHWAY 2 SAYS THAT ON OR ABOUT MAY 21,2022 AT APPROXIMATELY 12:45 AM AT THE CORNER OF 4TH AVE & 94TH ST COUNTY OF KINGS, STATE OF NEW YORK,

THE DEFENDANT COMMITTED THE OFFENSE(S) OF:

VTL 1192(1)

OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE

OF ALCOHOL OR DRUGS

VTL 1192(3)

OPERATING A MOTOR VEHICLE WHILE UNDER THE INFLUENCE

OF ALCOHOL OR DRUGS

IN THAT THE DEFENDANT DID:

OPERATE A MOTOR VEHICLE WHILE SUCH PERSON'S ABILITY WAS IMPAIRED BY THE CONSUMPTION OF ALCOHOL; OPERATE A MOTOR VEHICLE WHILE IN AN INTOXICATED CONDITION.

THE SOURCE OF DEPONENT'S INFORMATION AND THE GROUNDS FOR DEPONENT'S BELIEF ARE AS FOLLOWS:

THE DEPONENT STATES THAT, AT THE ABOVE TIME AND PLACE, THE DEPONENT OBSERVED THE DEFENDANT DRIVING A 2015 FORD EXPLORER NEW YORK STATE LICENSE NO. BOUZOUKI THAT APPEARED TO HAVE EXCESSIVELY TINTED FRONT DRIVER'S SIDE WINDOW, FRONT PASSENGER SIDE WINDOW, REAR DRIVER'S SIDE WINDOW, AND REAR PASSENGER SIDE WINDOW.

DEPONENT FURTHER STATES THAT AT THE APPROXIMATE ABOVE TIME AND PLACE, DEPONENT OBSERVED THE DEFENDANT EXHIBITING SIGNS OF INTOXICATION; TO WIT, RED WATERY EYES, AND ODOR OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE ON BREATH.

FALSE STATEMENTS MADE IN THIS DOCUMENT ARE PUNISHABLE AS A CLASS A MISDEMEANOR PURSUANT TO SECTION 210.45 OF THE PENAL LAW.

EXHIBIT C

2	COUNTY OF KINGS: PART APAR3
3	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
4	-against-
5	Docket No: CR-014072-22KN Arraignment
6	MARIA TSAMASIROS
7	Defendant.
8	X
9	120 Schermerhorn Street
10	Brooklyn, New York 11201 May 21, 2022
11	
12	B E F O R E: THE HONORABLE ARCHANA RAO,
13	CRIMINAL COURT JUDGE
14	APPEARANCES:
15	FOR THE PEOPLE:
16	ERIC GONZALEZ, ESQ.
17	District Attorney, Kings County 350 Jay Street
18	Brooklyn, New York 11201
19	BY: JILL DAVIS, ESQ. Assistant District Attorney
20	
21	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
22	BROOKLYN DEFENDER SERVICE, 177 Livingston Street
23	Brooklyn, New York 11201
4	BY: JOAN HOWELL, ESQ.
5	DAVINA W FLOOD Official Court Reporter

EXHIBIT "C"

- 1 COURT OFFICER: Docket ending 072, Maria
- 2 Tsamasiros. The defendant is charged with VTL1192,
- 3 operating vehicle while under the influence of alcohol
- 4 or drugs.
- 5 MS. HOWELL: Brooklyn Defender Services by
- 6 Joan Howell, appearing on behalf of Ms. Tsamasiros.
- 7 COURT OFFICER: Counsel, do you waive the
- 8 reading of the rights and charges, but not the rights
- 9 thereunder?
- MS. HOWELL: So waive.
- MS. DAVIS: 250.20, 255, serving and filing
- 12 710.31(a), CTA and then IDU paperwork will be provided
- 13 post arraignment.
- 14 People are consenting to release.
- 15 Requesting a license suspension and screening. That's
- 16 all.
- 17 THE COURT: And you did provide the written
- 18 verified blood alcohol results?
- MS. NEEDLE: Yes. Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: And based upon that and the
- 21 reasonable cause provided by your complaint, it's my
- 22 intention to suspend your client's privilege to drive,
- 23 pending prosecution.
- Is there anything of relevance you'd like to
- 25 say regarding the issue?

- 1 MS. HOWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
- 2 For starters, I would like to put emphasis
- 3 on the fact that none of the allegations in the
- 4 complaint speak to recklessness.
- 5 Your Honor has discretion to suspend my
- 6 client's license. It is not an automatic suspension
- 7 because my client blew below the legal limit of point
- 8 zero eight. The paperwork says point zero five two, if
- 9 I'm not mistaking.
- 10 Additionally, Your Honor. The allegations
- 11 here specifically speak to my client being stopped for
- 12 excessive tinting.
- Nothing in the allegations speaks to
- 14 recklessness, which would be required in order for it to
- 15 be an automatic suspension here.
- 16 So, I ask that Your Honor consider not
- 17 suspending my client's license in this case.
- In addition, my client works full-time as a
- 19 Safety Director for a plumbing company and she needs her
- 20 driving privileges to remain intact in order to travel
- 21 to and from work.
- So essentially Your Honor, by you suspending
- 23 my client's license; you would be preventing her from
- 24 persuing her employment.
- THE COURT: People?

- 2 observe a odor of alcohol coming from the defendant's
- 3 breath.
- They did observe that the defendant had
- 5 watery eyes. The defendant stated in sum and substance,
- 6 I had two glasses of wine.
- 7 MS. HOWELL: Your Honor, can I be heard on
- 8 that point?
- 9 THE COURT: Yes.
- 10 MS. HOWELL: In terms of my client stating
- 11 that she had two glasses of wine, it is not illegal for
- 12 a person to drive after having two glasses of wine; as
- 13 long as it's not over the legal limit.
- Nothing in the allegations again, despite
- 15 the normal different kinds of intoxication of red watery
- 16 eyes.
- No allegations speak to recklessness, and
- 18 that's what's required in order for there to be an
- 19 automatic suspension here.
- There was no allegation of my client being
- 21 involved in a accident. There's no allegation of my
- 22 client hitting anyone. There's no allegation of
- 23 recklessness whatsoever.
- Again, Your Honor I ask that you use your
- 25 discretion and not suspend my client's license in this

```
5
```

```
1
     case.
  2
                  THE COURT: Anything further?
  3
                 MS. DAVIS: No.
                                   Your Honor.
                 MS. HOWELL: No. Your Honor.
  5
                 THE COURT: I'll note that your client has
     blown below the limit, which is a point zero five two.
  6
 7
     There are no allegations of recklessness. It is not a
     mandatory suspension, pending prosecution and in light
 8
     of the fact that she did not blow below a point zero
 9
10
     eight.
11
                 Confirming what Counsel stated, this was not
     a stop based upon any traffic moving violation or any
12
     allegations of recklessness. In light of this, I will
13
     not suspend her license at this time.
14
15
16
                 (Continued on the next page to allow room
17
    for the Certificate page.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

MS. HOWELL: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: It's going to DWI. June 27th? MS. HOWELL: Yes, please. THE COURT: I ordered screening. Adjourned to DWI, June 27th on for COC. She's released, ROR. Thank you. This is certified to be a true and accurate transcript of the stenographic notes. DAVINA W. FLOOD Official Court Reporter

EXHIBIT D

Document # LWEB0743 6/28/2022 TIME: 12:00:92 OPERATOR: WEB OFFICE: DAB TSAMASIROS, MARIA K 226 BEACH 134 ST HEIGHT: B.4 EYE COLOR! BROWN BELLE HARBOR NY 11694 COUNTY: QUEE MI #6 119050 30191 736026-90 NAME ON LICENSEXID. TSAMASIROS MARIA, KATHERINE RESTRICTIONS: CORRECTIVE LENSES LICENSE CLASS: *D* STATUS: SUSPENDED EXPIRATION: 09/17/2027 SUSP/REV SUMMARY: TOTAL (SCOFFS O DATES) JUDGEMENT & CLASS CHANGE: 05/10/2007 NEW: 503* OLD: PERMI CLASS CHANGE: 05/10/2008 NEW: 503* OLD: PERMI RECIDENT PREVENTION COURSE COMPLETED ON: 03/14/2020 POINT REDUCTION ELIGIBLE FOR VIOLATIONS OCCURRING FROM ON N/A NGN 15-A DRIVER OR COURSE PRIOR TO 01/11/68 OLD! PERMIT 09/14/2018 + 03/14/2020 SUSPENSION: 05/21/2022

This is to certify that this document is a true and complete copy of an electronic report on his in the New York State Department of Motor Vehicles, Albany, New York, This record was made in regular ocurse of New York State Department of Motor Vehicles and Motor Vehicles in the records of drivers in the state of New York. Entries in this document are made at the time the recorded transcriptions of givents took place or within a reasonable time thereafter. The person who reports the information is under a business duty to do so applicablely.

Exhibit "D"

COMMESIONER DEMOTOR MENICLES

NYS DMV - LENS CUSTOMER # 88368 NOTIFICATION REPORT FOR BAY RIDGE MECHANICAL

WORK OF 06/29/2022 PRINTED 06/30/2022 PAGE 0001

DRIVER 207676978 TSAMASIROS, MARIA, K

ADDED: 07/07/2015

LIC STATUS:>VALID CDL STATUS:>NONE

19A STATUS: NOT APPLICABLE

LIC CLASS : *D*

F MY INDEX

LIC PRIVILEGE: FULL CDL PRIVILEGE: NONE

EXPIRATION: 09/17/2027

NYS DMV - LENS CUSTOMER # 88368 NOTIFICATION SUMMARY REPORT FOR BAY RIDGE MECHANICAL

WORK OF 06/29/2022 PRINTED 06/30/2022 PAGE 0001

THERESA
BAY RIDGE MECHANICAL
612 79TH STREET
BROOKLYN NY 11209

NUMBER OF NOTIFICATIONS

1 6 \$1.00 -

\$1.00

LAST NOTIFICATION SENT

06/10/2022

EXHIBIT E

Randi D. Schneider, LCSW

Phone: 917 • 696 • 2766

53 Eighth Avenue Brooklyn, New York 11217 The Offices @ Water Street PO Box 2774 Sag Harbor, New York 11963

Fax: 631 · 899 · 2535
randischneiderLCSW@gmail.com

Patient Name: Maria Tsamasiros

DOB: 09.17.90

Mayobis:

Data of Court		
Date of Service	Service Provided SCREENING FOR Alcohol for Other	Fee
2/03/02	SPEENING FOR	125.
	Alcohol top Otto	~
	Substance Abus	>
*	$\sim \rho \rho$	herer, can
	full le	hell
	Marie	
The state of the s	paragorde	
and the same of th	TOTAL:	6/25

Randi D. Schneider, LOSU

NY State Licensed Clinical Social Worker # R 025337-1

Date: 5 43 • 22

EXHIBIT F

From:

INFANTE, ASHLEY

Toa

Michael B. Palillo

Subject:

RE: TSAMASIROS, MARIA CR-014072-22KN

Dates

Friday, June 10, 2022 3:48:23 PM

Per our conversation, we will be moving to dismiss this case due to insufficient evidence to prosecute.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at any time.

Best.

Ashley C. Infante

From: Michael B. Palillo <mpalillo@palillolaw.com>

Sent: Friday, June 10, 2022 3:47 PM

To: INFANTE, ASHLEY < INFANTEA@BrooklynDA.org> Subject: RE: TSAMASIROS, MARIA CR-014072-22KN

Thank you

From: INFANTE, ASHLEY < INFANTEA@BrooklynDA.org>

Sent: Friday, June 10, 2022 3:37 PM

To: Michael B. Palillo <mpalillo@palillolaw.com> Subject: TSAMASIROS, MARIA CR-014072-22KN

Good afternoon,

I Just to make sure that you had my contact information. I have an update regarding the case and will give you a call shortly.

Best,

Ashley C. Infante Assistant District Attorney Kings County District Attorney's Office | Trial Bureau II - Blue Zone 350 Jay Street 11th Floor, Brooklyn, NY 11201 Email: infantea@brooklynda.org | Phone: 718-250-3168

This email communication and any files transmitted with it contain privileged and confidential information from the Kings County District Attorney's Office and are intended solely for the use of the individuals or entity to whom it has been addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please delete it and notify the sender by return email. This email communication and any files transmitted with it contain privileged and confidential information from the Kings County District Attorney's Office and are intended solely for the use of the individuals or entity to whom it has been addressed. If you are not the intended

recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please delete it and notify the sender by return email.

ternfeate | dinningant |

Page Lot 1



KINGS CRIMINAL COURT

120 Schermerhorn St., Brooklyn, NY 11201 Plune (64n) (8n 188) Lax (718) 641 271)

FEE Non-Public Version

CoacORL SYDNOTH

The People of the State of New York	Certificate of Dispositio	To the second
Maria K. Framasiros	Docket Number	CR-014072-22KN
to the state of th	CJTN NYSID	069955432/ 15563304P
Defendant DOB	Arrest Date 05/21/2022	Arragmment Date #5/21/2022

THIS IS TO CLETTPY that the undersigned has examined the files of the Kings Criminal Court concerning the above entitled matter

The state of the s								
Count #	Charge	Charge Welghi	Disposition	Disposition Date				
NEST OFFICE BUTCHES	VII 1192 (13 L M DW). 1st Offenso **SI M I D 160 50**	UM	Dismissed (Other Insufficient Fundence (CPI 470 30 (DR)), Scaled 160.50)	06 37-2022				
2	V11 1192 01 FDWAI Alcohol **SFAFFD 160 50**	1	Dismissed (Other Insufficient Lyidence (CPL 170 30 (1)(f)), Scaled 160 50)	06 27 2022				
Charge Weight Key 1 Intraction V Violation of 1855 Lavy Mistementor, LM Unclassified Misdementor, Al. Bl. C1 19 (1884) Lavy Lebeny								

Dated June 37, 2022

Chief Clerk/Clerk of 116 Cour

CAUTION: THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT OFFICIAL UNLESS EMBOSSED WITH BUF

All manipages convictions under Pt. 221 05. Pt. 221 16. Pt. 221 15. Pt. 221 20. Pt. 221 35 or Pt. 221 at a nucleding any appearing on the certain are should discussed, sealed, and aspunged It is an unlawful discriminatory practice for any only to make any inquiry about success any inquiry about success any inquiry about success and individual in any form of application or otherwise. Tables specifically exputed or demand to do so by institute. Shirichan of the use the and extended for the community practice, onless specifically required or permanded by statute, for any person, agency barrier, corporation or association, medicing the state and any political subdivision thereof, to make any inquiry about whether in any formed application or otherwise or to act upon a decrease to the individual involved any arrest or emigral accusation of such individual nor then pending against that individual which was followed by a termination color of emigral action or involved any arriver or criminal accusation of such individual not then pending against that individual which was followed by a terminative of that criminal across proceedings in favor of such individual as defined in subdivision two of section for \$0 in the criminal procedure law, or by an order administrate of contemplation of distinstal pursuant to section 170.55. 210.47, or 215.10 of the criminal procedure law, or by a constitution one of section 720.35 of the criminal procedure law, or by a constitution which is sealed pursuant to section 160.59 or 160.58 of the criminal procedure law, or consistent or a violation of the beginning by the criminal procedure law, or consistent or a violation of the beginning by the criminal procedure law, or consistent or the criminal procedure law, or consistent, or providing of circuit or insurance to such individual provided, further that no person shall be required to the operation of such individual not then pending aparent that individual which was followed by a temperature of the or finest section or consistent of the order of such individual or collideration of the criminal distribution of the criminal procedure of the order of the criminal procedure of the criminal procedure of the order of the criminal procedure of the crimin to any states of extransia sectional to such materials from two penting against the subsection when the enterior of a extraction of the element proceeding in factor of such subsection, as defined in subsection from the 50 of the element proceeding in the color scheduling as defined by the color admits a comparable from the color scheduling by the color and accompanies to the color scheduling by the color and accompanies to the color scheduling by the color and accompanies to the color scheduling by the color and accompanies to the color scheduling by the color and accompanies to the color scheduling by the color and accompanies to the color scheduling by the color and accompanies to the color and the color proceeding in factor of such more man, as usumed in sucher some port of the contemplation of distincted, pursuant to section 170.55 or 170.50, 210.46, 210.47 or 215.40 of the contemplation of distincted, pursuant to section 170.55 or 170.50, 240.46, 210.47 or 215.40 of the contemplation of distincted, pursuant to section 170.55 or 170.50, 240.46, 210.47 or 215.40 of the contemplation of distincted or section 170.55 or 170.50, 240.46, 210.47 or 215.40 of the contemplation of distincted or section 170.55 or 170.50, 240.46, 210.47 or 215.40 of the contemplation of distincted or section 170.55 or 170.50, 240.46, 210.47 or 215.40 of the contemplation of distincted or 200.55 or 170.50, 210.46, 210.47 or 215.40 of the contemplation of distincted or 200.55 or 170.50, 210.46, 210.47 or 215.40 of the contemplation of distincted or 200.55 or 170.50, 210.46, 210.47 or 215.40 of the contemplation of distincted or 200.55 or 170.50, 210.46, 210.47 or 215.40 of the contemplation of distincted or 200.55 or 170.50, 210.46, 210.47 or 215.40 of the contemplation of distincted or 200.55 or 170.50 or 210.46, 210.47 or 210.47 or 210.40 or 210.47 or 21 normal in supervision which is scaled pursuant to section 160.58 or 160.59 of the critical procedure for An individual required in requested to request information in violation of this subdivision may respond as if the direct, criminal accusation of disposition of such artest or criss and accessive and a consensus of the provisions of the in common or my amount man response or the modern governmental budges in relation to the regulation of governmental budges in relation to the regulation of governmental budges in relation to the regulation of graphy to the training application for employ months a policy officer or peace officer as those terms are defined in subdivisions thurs, three and four terms of section 20 of the criminal procedure law, provided further that the provisions of this subdivision shall not apply to an application for employment of procedure and success of the subdivision shall not apply to an application for employment of procedures are successful. properties the control of the contro with respect to any areas or armining accommon when was transfer by a common engagement, as agraed in supervision one is seened. 20-35 or the crimment proceeding law, or by a consistent for a violation scaled pursuant to section 100.55 of the crimmal proceeding law, or by a consistent which is cested personal is section 100.58 or 100.59 of the crimmal proceeding law. For jumposes of this subdivision, in action which has been administed in consemplation of dismissal, parsuant to section 170.55 or 170.56, 210.46, 210.47 or 215.10 of the crimital procedure law, shall not be considered a pending as from indeed the order to adjourn in contemplation of disantisful is revoked and the case is restored to the calendar for further prosecution. It recurse I in 24o(10) Charges may not be the same as the original arrest charges

All official records (exchaling published court decisions or opinions or records and briefs on appeal) related to die arrest or prosecution on file CPL 168 50 with the Division of Criminal Justice Services, any court, pubic agency or prosecutor collice shall not be an adable to any person or bubble or private access

EXHIBIT "G"

EXHIBIT H

PALILLOLAW MICHAEL D. D.

BROADWAY CHAMBERS BUILDING

MICHAEL B. PALILLO P.C.

277 BROADWAY SUITE 501 NEW W YORK NEW YORK 10007

May 24, 2022

P 212.608.8959 F 212.608-0304

MICHAEL B. PALILLO ESQ. Pedro Duran Esq.

mpal illo@paliilolaw.com
pduran@pal illolaw.com
paralegal/calendar
yvazquez@paliilolaw.com
office manager
marianne@palilolaw.com

Ms. Maria K. Tsamasiros 226 Beach 134th Street Belle Harbor, NY 11694

RE: People v. Tsamasiros

Retainer

\$2,500.00

KINDLY REMIT

EXHIBIT "H"

MICHAEL B. PALILLO, an attorney duly admitted to practice law in the Courts of the State of New York affirms the following under penalties of perjury:

1. I am the attorney for the Plaintiff in the above captioned matter. I have read the foregoing COMPLAINT and know the contents thereof; the same is true to my knowledge, except as to matters herein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, and as to those matters I believe to be true based on a review of the files maintained in this office and conversations had with the Plaintiff. The reason why this verification is made by the undersigned attorney rather than the Plaintiff, is that the Plaintiff does not reside in the County wherein I maintain my office for the practice of law.

Dated: New York, New York September 20, 2022

Michael B. Palillo Esq.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MARIA TSAMASIROS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK,
P.O. RICKY POH, P.O. KAREEM ROBINSON,
AND JOHN/JANE DOE OFFICERS OF THE
68TH AND 73RD PCTS AND NYPD HIGHWAY PATROL UNIT,
NAMES FICTITIOUS, TRUE NAMES UNKNOWN INTENDING
TO BE OFFICERS ON THE DATE AND TIME ALLEGED,

Defendants.

SUMMONS & VERIFIED COMPLAINT

Michael B. Palillo P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff
MARIA TSAMASIROS
Office and Post Office Address:
277 Broadway, Suite 501
New York, NY 10007
(212) 608-8959

(212) 608-0304 Fax (Not for Service) mpalillo@palillolaw.com
(Not for Service)

Signature (Rule 130-1.1-a)

MICHAEL B. PALILLO, ESQ.